Gregg L. Weiner Stephen S. Rabinowitz Fried, Frank, Harris, Shriver & Jacobson LLP One New York Plaza New York, New York 10004-1980 (212) 859-8000 Attorneys for Plaintiff

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

----- >

KERYX BIOPHARMACEUTICALS, INC. : ECF CASE

Plaintiff, : 07 Civ. 10376 (CSH)

- against - : REPLY DECLARATION

OF EIICHI ARAI

Page 1 of 2

PANION & BF BIOTECH, INC.,

Defendant.

------ X

- I am the same Eiichi Arai whose declaration, dated November 20,
 2007, was submitted in support of Keryx's motion for a preliminary injunction. I
 make this declaration to explain some additional points of Japanese patent practice.
- 2. As I stated in my earlier declaration, when an applicant for a patent receives an Office Action rejecting the application, the applicant may respond by submitting arguments or evidence in support of patentability and by amending the claims and/or the specification.
- 3. After the applicant has responded to the Office Action, if the response is not successful in overcoming the rejection, the Examiner may issue an Office Action finally rejecting the application (also known as a Decision of Rejection).

 Once a Decision of Rejection has issued, an applicant no longer has the same ability to amend the claims in order to obtain allowance.

5. The applicant may file a divisional application, containing the same claims as the original application, seeking to patent the same subject matter as in the original application. However, the delay between requesting examination of the divisional application and receiving a first Office Action is unpredictable, and may last up to several years.

I, EIICHI ARAI, hereby declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Executed this 26th day of November, 2007 at Tokyo, Japan.

Eiichi Arai

2 559893.1